

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,753	12/17/2001	Jidong Xu	13831.00008	2827	
27160	7590 02/18/2004		- EXAM	- EXAMINER	
PATENT ADMINSTRATOR			VY, HUNG T		
	CHIN ZAVIS ROSENN	AAN	ARTIRIT	DA DED MIN (DED	
525 WEST M	ONROE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1600		•	2828		
CHICAGO, IL 60661-3693			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/015,753	XU ET AL.				
,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung T Vy	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final-rejection-under-37 CFR-1-113-may-only-be-either-(1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic l-)-a-timely-filed-amendment-whi	cation. A proper re ch-places-the-appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period of the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extending the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		Jane Sp = Z	S Fre			

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance becaus: Applicant's argurement is not persuasive. Pousti et al. shows in figure figure 14 a multi source, amplifying and multiplying compressing a plurality of serially interconnected optical fiber sections each section. Glance (U.S.Pat int No. 4,989,201) show in Fig. 3 a multi-wavelength laser and coupling. The citation of Glance to demonstrate Poustie et al's multi source could be the multi wavelength laser and coupler as shown in Glance Fig. 3. The claim-is-not-patentably distinct from the reference to Poustie et al. or Glance.